

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

RAYMOND PADILLA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

STATE OF NEVADA, in relation to the
NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS, et al.,

Defendants.

3:07-CV-0442-RAM

MINUTES OF THE COURT

September 29, 2009

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE ROBERT A. McQUAID, JR., U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: JENNIFER COTTER REPORTER: NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:

Plaintiff has filed a Motion to Compel Discovery (Second Request) (Doc. #67). Defendants have filed a Response to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discovery (Second Request) (Doc. #70) and there has been no reply. Plaintiff's Motion is a one-page document with no attachments.

LR 26-7(a) provides that “All motions to compel discovery or for protective order shall set forth in full the text of the discovery originally sought and the response thereto, if any.” Plaintiff has failed to comply with this Rule.

Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discovery (Second Request) (Doc. #67) is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By: /s/
Deputy Clerk